

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Viginia | 22313-1450

			www.uspto.gov	•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,719 26191	03/29/2001 7590 08/27/2003	Kathleen A. Donovan	07039-260001	4609
	CHARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER	
60 SOUTH	RAUSCHER PLAZA SIXTH STREET MAIS MN 55402		HILL, MYRON G	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648 DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

' · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
t t	09/821,719	DONOVAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Myron G. Hill	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply set or extended per	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ication. 1 days, a reply within the statutory minimul tory period will apply and will expire SIX I. by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on 17 July 2003 .				
, — ·	This action is non-final				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4, 5, 8, 15, and 30- 40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4, 5, 8, 15, and 30- 40</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requireme	nt.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 18			

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment D, filed 12 July 2003.

Claims 4, 5, 8, 15, and 30- 40 are under consideration in this action.

Rejections Withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn because the claims were canceled.

The rejection of claims 4,5,8, and 30- 35 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The rejection of claims 4, 5, 8, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein (1989 Blood Vol 73, No 2, pages 517- 526) is withdrawn after finding Applicant's argument persuasive that the assay of Klein is not as recited in the claims.

New Rejections

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 4, 5, 8, 15, and 30- 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims fail to recite a step of combining the bone marrow preparation with the stromal cells. Furthermore, it is not clear what is meant by "bone marrow preparation". The specification teaches that what is used is a ficoll purified sample of myeloma cells (leaving only MC) and then the supernantant is made cell free and transferred to the culture of stromal cells. The claims fail to accurately recite the details of the assay. Claims 5, 30, 31, and 33- 35 lack proper antecedent basis for the reference to the condition. It is suggested that "in said individual" or phrased as needed be added after MMRPD.

Claims 4, 5, 8, 15, and 30- 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is not clear that the term "monitoring the status" in claims 4, 15, and 32 is supported by the specification in the way it is used in the claims. Applicant is requested to point to specific support for the term. It is suggested that it could be changed to determining if a MMRPD in an individual is likely to progress to active MM which seems to find more clear support in the specification.

Art Unit: 1648

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 4, 5, 8, 15, and 30- 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donovan and Carter.

The claims are drawn to methods using the steps of assaying for IL-6 in stromal cells for determining if an individual with a MMRPD (multiple myeloma related plasmaproliferative disorder) has a change in IL-6 which measures IL-1beta and indicates a change in disease state or presence of condition when compared to normal.

Donovan teaches that IL-1 beta can differentiate between diagnoses of MM, MGUS, and an unrelated condition (Table 4) and that IL-1 beta and IL-6 have a functional relationship in the cause of and progression to MM (Figure 4 and page 598, column 2- page 599, column 1). Donovan detected full length cDNA that corresponds to expressed mRNA (page 594, column 1, under list of primers). One of ordinary skill in the art would know that there is a direct quantitative correlation between full length mRNA expressed and the protein it makes.

Donovan does not teach stromal cell assay.

Carter teaches a stromal cell co-culture assay using purified myeloma cells (MC) and marrow stromal cells (MSC), that IL-6 is produced in direct proportion to IL-1 beta in the co-culture, and that the production of IL-6 is blocked by anti-IL1 beta antibodies (page 424- 425, Figure 1, and Table 3, also commented on by Donovan, page 599, column 1, top). Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would know that this MC-MSC assay shows the level of IL-1 beta that is secreted by MC even though the assay reads

Art Unit: 1648

out IL-6 which is produced by the stromal cells in response to the IL-1 produced by the MC.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have known from the teaching of Donovan that monitoring the status of individuals with MGUS (Table 4) or pre-MM conditions such as SMM (Donovan, Table 1) could have their status monitored or compared to controls because the level of IL1 beta goes from undetectable to detectable. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have known that the level of IL-1 beta could be assayed for with the assay of Carter to determine the status or change of status in an individual diagnosed with MGUS or related pre-MM condition. One of ordinary skill in the art would know that because the IL-1 is secreted, that a cell free supernatant of the MC can be assayed for the presence of IL-1 as well.

Thus, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to determine the status of an individual using the criteria of Donovan with the assay of Carter to determine the IL-1 beta that leads to IL-6 production in a stromal cell assay.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1648

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4247. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Myron G. Hill
Patent Examiner
15 August 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600